

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMER United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

Peter Pal Varga	T027/ DIVI	
- v · g	T9376.DIV1	9282
	EXAMINER	
	WILLSE, I	DAVID H
	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	. 3738	
		WILLSE, I ART UNIT

DATE MAILED: 10/18/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Advisory Action	10/055,673	VARGA ET AL.		
•	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Dave Willse	3738		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address				
THE REPLY FILED September 13, 2004, FAILS TO Properties of Final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Apple Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this appli (1) a timely filed amendment wh	cation. A proper reply to a ich places the application in		
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The chave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of external states of the shortener (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the han SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of SFILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE late on which the petition under 37 CFR 1, insion and the corresponding amount of the distatutory period for reply originally set in	of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 136(a) and the appropriate extension fee efee. The appropriate extension fee under a the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in		
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.				
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:				
(a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);				
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);				
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or				
(d) they present additional claims without cance NOTE:	eling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.		
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reje	ection(s):			
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	•	separate, timely filed amendment		
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.				
7. ☑ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☐ will not be entered or b) ☑ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows				
Claim(s) allowed:				
Claim(s) objected to:				
Claim(s) rejected: <u>81,83,85,87,89 and 91</u> .				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:				
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approximately	proved or b) disapproved by	y the Examiner.		
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statem	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).			
10.⊠ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>		7.1		
		Pave Willse Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3738		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03) Continuation of 10. Other: The Terminal Disclaimer of September 13, 2004, has been disapproved because there is no documentary evidence of a chain of title from the original inventors to the assignee, nor is it specified (by reel and frame number) where such documentary evidence is recorded in the Office (37 CFR 3.73(b)). Attention is directed to MPEP 324 (and MPEP 1490).